

DEBT, ECONOMIC GROWTH AND INFLATION IN TUNISIA

Wissem Boukraine

University of Tunis El Manar, Faculty of Economic Science and Management of Tunis, Tunis, Tunisia boukrainewissem@gmail.com

Abstract: The depreciation of the national currency, the slow economic growth, the higher wage costs passed on to prices and the growing external debt, characterized the Tunisian economy in the last decade. In this context we investigate its inflation dynamics to understand which variables affects it in the short and in the long run. We apply the Autoregressive Distributed-lagged model over quarterly data from 2010 to 2019 alongside the bound testing approach. Our results suggest a significant impact of external debt and loans on inflation in the short and long run, while economic growth affects inflation only in the long run.

JEL classification: C01, E31

Key words: Debt, Economic growth, Inflation

1. INTRODUCTION

Inflation hardens the condition of market financing because creditors require a high risk premium which increases the interest rate at which the country can borrow. Bildirici and Ersin (2007) state that increasing debt to GDP ratios lead economies with high inflation to borrow at higher cost and with low maturity. According to the economic literature the growth of debt is inflationary when the majority of that debt is external. Kwon et al (2006) investigate the relationship between debt and inflation for a panel of countries and found that an increase in debt leads to inflation in highly indebted countries. Kannan and Singh (2009) found a negative impact of debt on inflation and output in India. Reinhart and Rogoff (2010) found a relation between high government debt ratios and inflation among emerging economies who suffer from a growing debt ratio. For African countries, Lopes and al. (2015) found that debt has a positive impact on inflation. But even if



the debt is meant to finance development projects, part of it finances consumption. Household favors present consumption on future consumption which encourages them to ask for loans, while firms use debt, which cost has been reduced by inflation, to increase their investment capacity.

If inflation finds some of its roots in debt; it can be fed by the wage price spiral, according to Blanchard (1986), through both an increase in real wage demanded by workers and firms efforts to rise mark-up profits. But for the case of developing countries, the results are controversial, while some found a significant relationship between wage and consumer prices, others like Dornbusch and Wolf (1990) and Moser (1995) did not. Inflation also increases the real exchange rate of a currency, which penalizes the competitiveness of the economy due to a deterioration of its trade balance. Hossain (2002) and Cerisola and Gelos (2009) found evidence of a negative correlation between exchange rate and inflation for developing economies and emerging countries respectively.

In this paper we use an Autoregressive Distributed-Lagged model (ARDL) to analyze Tunisian public debt impact on inflation using quarterly data over the last decade. ARDL model was introduced by Pesaran and al. (2001) and it's use is preferable when variables are integrated in different order.

The paper is organized as follows. Section 2 presents the model. Section 3 details the results, while Section 4 contains the concluding remarks.

2. THE MODEL

Data are collected from the international monetary fund (real effective exchange rate), the national institute of statistics of Tunisia (inflation, wage and gdp growth) and the central bank of Tunisia (loans and external debt). We estimate the following *ARDL* specification:

$$p_{t} = \theta_{0} + \sum_{i=1}^{m} \zeta_{i} l p_{t-i} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \xi_{i} l d_{t-i} + \sum_{i=1}^{o} \varsigma_{i} l w_{t-i} + \sum_{i=1}^{p} \tau_{i} l l_{t-i} + \sum_{i=1}^{q} \psi_{i} l e_{t-i} + \sum_{i=1}^{r} \varrho_{i} l g_{t-i} + u_{t}$$

$$(1)$$



All variables are in Log, with: p, d, w, l, e and g respectively inflation, external debt, wage, loans, real effective exchange rate and GDP growth. The number of lags (m,n, o, p, q) is determined by selection criteria.

After the estimation of the *ARDL* (m, n, o, p, q, r) specification and the calculation of the associated long-run multipliers, the final step is the estimation of the short-run dynamic coefficients via the following error correction model:

$$\Delta p_{t} = \alpha_{1} + \sum_{i=1}^{m} \delta_{i} \Delta p_{t-i} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \kappa_{i} \Delta d_{t-i} + \sum_{i=1}^{o} \varpi_{i} \Delta w_{t-i} + \sum_{i=1}^{p} \chi_{i} \Delta l_{t-i} + \sum_{i=1}^{q} \omega_{i} \Delta e_{t-i}$$
$$+ \sum_{i=1}^{r} \beta_{i} \Delta g_{t-i} + \lambda ECM_{t-1} + \vartheta_{t}$$
(2)

Let ECM_{t-1} be an error correction term resulting from the long-run equilibrium relationship and λ a parameter of the speed of adjustment to the equilibrium level after a shock.

$$\Delta x_t = \varphi + \sum_{i=1}^n \Phi_i x_{t-i} + \lambda ECM_{t-i} + \epsilon_t$$
(3)

Let x_t be the 6×1 vector variables (p, d, w, l, e, g), φ a 6×1 vector of constant terms, Φ_i is a 6×6 matrices including the interaction coefficients of the variables, λ a 6×1 vector of coefficients for the error correction terms and ϵ_t a vector of disturbance terms.

3. RESULTS

In the case of ARDL models, it is important that no series is integrated of order two or higher. Therefore we apply the Augmented Dickey-Fuller (1979) test to make sure the variables in our sample are at most integrated of order one and to avoid wrong specification and spurious estimation.

Table n°1:	Unit root test

		Level	1st difference			
	Intercept	Trend and intercept	None	Intercept	Trend and intercept	None
inf	-1.338	-0.905	-1.315	-5.040	-5.288	-5.169
	(0.593)	(0.939)	(0.169)	(0.001)	(0.002)	(0.000)
debt	-1.597	-2.674	0.0897	-4.724	-5.471	-5.541
	(0.471)	(0.254)	(0.703)	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.000)
wage	-2.570	-3.077	-0.225	-8.104	-7.910	-8.273
	(0.112)	(0.134)	(0.595)	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)
loan	-1.421	-0.138	-1.642	-3.864	-4.584	-3.758
	(0.555)	(0.991)	(0.094)	(0.007)	(0.0066)	(0.001)

JOURNAL OF SMART ECONOMIC GROWTH

USE www.jseg	G g.ro ISSN: 253	7-141X			Volume 6, Numbe	er 1, Year 2021
reer	-1.649	-2.171	0.216	-3.546	-3.619	-3.524
	(0.445)	(0.486)	(0.742)	(0.014)	(0.047)	(0.001)
gdp	-1.754	-3.399	-0.376	-5.715	-5.721	-5.946
	(0.393)	(0.075)	(0.538)	(0.000)	(0.001)	(0.000)

The results of unit root tests show that all series are stationary processes in first difference. Now we check optimal lag order for each variable considered, the result in Table 1 indicate that one maximum lag is the optimal choice based on the Final prediction error (FPE), the Akaike information criterion (AIC), the Schwarz information criterion (SC) and the Hannan-Quinn information criterion (HQ).

Table 2 Lag Length Selection

Lag	LogL	FPE	AIC	SC	HQ
0	-336.5752	1707.139	24.46965	24.75513	24.55693
1	-236.3509	18.53939*	19.88221*	21.88051*	20.49311*

The results in the table above indicate that the optimal choice for all series is one lag at most. Next we check the cointegration between the variables in our model in the short run using the Granger (1969) causality test.

Null Hypothesis	F-Stat	Prob	Null Hypothesis	F-Stat	Prob
wage does not cause inf	2.502	0.126	inf does not cause wage	0.220	0.643
loan does not cause inf	1.398	0.248	inf does not cause loan	4.305	0.048
debt does not cause inf	1.735	0.199	inf does not cause debt	0.496	0.488
reer does not cause inf	3.542	0.072	inf does not cause reer	0.724	0.403
gdp does not cause inf	0.143	0.709	inf does not cause GDP	2.127	0.157
loan does not cause wage	1.695	0.205	wage does not cause loan	0.945	0.340
debt does not cause wage	0.818	0.374	wage does not cause debt	0.138	0.713
reer does not cause wage	0.033	0.858	wage does not cause reer	0.088	0.769
gdp does not cause wage	0.058	0.812	wage does not cause gdp	0.171	0.683
debt does not cause loan	3.336	0.080	loan does not cause debt	0.146	0.706
reer does not cause loan	0.099	0.754	loan does not cause reer	0.111	0.742
gdp does not cause loan	8.001	0.009	loan does not cause gdp	0.005	0.942
reer does not cause debt	0.757	0.392	debt does not cause reer	0.431	0.518
gdp does not cause debt	0.099	0.755	debt does not cause gdp	0.563	0.460
gdp does not cause reer	0.032	0.859	reer does not cause gdp	0.085	0.773

Table 3 Granger causality test



The results in the Table above show the existence of a causal relation for all variables except from inflation to loan and from GDP to loan at 5% and 1% level respectively. To check cointegration in the long run we use the johansen (1991, 1995) cointegration test.

Unrestricted Cointegration Rank Test (Trace)								
Hypothesized		Trace	0.05					
No. of CE(s)	Eigenvalue	Statistic	Critical Value	Prob.				
None *	0.797512	124.4239	95.75366	0.0001				
At most 1 *	0.787259	81.30291	69.81889	0.0046				
At most 2	0.492643	39.51557	47.85613	0.2403				
At most 3	0.329219	21.19500	29.79707	0.3456				
At most 4	0.288887	10.41355	15.49471	0.2503				
At most 5	0.043776	1.208594	3.841466	0.2716				
Un	Unrestricted Cointegration Rank Test (Maximum Eigenvalue)							
Hypothesized		Max-Eigen	0.05					
No. of CE(s)	Eigenvalue	Statistic	Critical Value	Prob.				
None *	0.797512	43.12098	40.07757	0.0220				
At most 1 *	0.787259	41.78734	33.87687	0.0046				
At most 2	0.492643	18.32058	27.58434	0.4686				
At most 3	0.329219	10.78145	21.13162	0.6691				
At most 4	0.288887	9.204955	14.26460	0.2695				
At most 5	0.043776	1.208594	3.841466	0.2716				

Table n°4:	Johansen	cointegration	test
I GOIO II II	Jonansen	connegiation	

The results displayed in the table above prove the existence; at 5% level, of two long run relationship in our set of variables according to both the Trace and the Max-eigenvalue tests. Now that we have confirmation about the existence of long run cointegration, we proceed to the bound testing approach.

Lag order	F stat	Critical	Lower	Upper		22 SEDIAI	w ² Δ DCU
Lag order T-stat	values	bound	bound	χ NORMAL	χ SERIAL	χ Ακτη	
(1, 0, 1, 1,	0, 1)						
	7.97	10%	2.08	3	0.032	0.792	0.752
		5%	2.39	3.38	(0.984)	(0.387)	(0.668)
		2.5%	2.7	3.73			
		1%	3.06	4.15			

Table 5 Results of the ARDL cointegration and diagnostic tests

The F-statistic exceeds the upper bound for all critical values as expected, since the null hypothesis of no conintegration has been already rejected by the Johansen cointegration test. The normality behavior is confirmed by the Jarque–Berra test. The null hypothesis H_0 for

both Breusch-Godfrey serial correlation LM and the ARCH tests are rejected at 5% level, which means the absence of serial correlation and heteroskedasticity in our estimation.

inf	debt	wage	loan	reer	gdp	ECT (- 1)
			Short-run res	sults		
_	0.111	_	-0.125	-	0.102	-0.974
	(0.000)		(0.006)		(0.135)	(0.000)
			Long-run res	ults		
	0.045	0.248	-0.224	-0.0246	-0.146	
-	(0.065)	(0.442)	(0.017)	(0.191)	(0.027)	

Table 6 The results of the short run and long run

Neither real effective exchange rate nor wage growth affects inflation directly. The External debt and loans have a significant impact on inflation, at 1% level in the short run; 10% and 5% respectively in the long run. The gdp growth only affects significantly inflation, at 5% level, in the long run. The bounds test results are in accordance with the johansen cointegration test done previously, which confirmed the existence of two long run relationships at 5% level.

4. CONCLUSIONS

ISSN: 2537-141X

www.jseg.ro

Inflation rises in the presence of upward pressure on wages or when economic growth is higher than its sustainable rate, which happens if aggregate demand increases faster than aggregate supply. But inflation also happens despite low economic growth when production costs are higher. The Tunisian economy faced both demand and cost push shocks in the last decade, significant rise in wages and a depreciation of the national currency in a context of rising debt. In this paper we focused on the dynamic of inflation, we used quarterly data in a sample from 2010Q4 to 2019Q4 to determine which variables affect it on the long run. We employed the Autoregressive Distributed-lagged model (ARDL) and Bounds Test; the specification ARDL (1, 0, 1, 1, 0, 1) fits better the data. We confirm that in in the short run inflation is determined by both loans and external debt evolutions. We also found that loans and GDP growth had a significant impact on inflation on the long run at 5% level, while external debt affected inflation with a 10% level of significance.

JOURNAL OF SMART ECONOMIC GROWTH



CONFLICTS OF INTEREST AND PLAGIARISM: The authors declare no conflict of interest and plagiarism.

REFERENCES

- Bildirici, M and O. Ersin (2007). Domestic Debt, Inflation and Economic Crisis: A Panel Cointegration Application to Emerging and Developed Economies, *Applied Econometrics and International Development* 7 (1).
- 2. Blanchard, O. (1986). The Wage Price Spiral, *The Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 101(406): 545-56.
- 3. Cerisola, M and G. Gelos (2009). What Drives Inflation Expectations in Brazil? An Empirical Analysis, *Applied Economics*, 41(10), 1215-1227.
- Dickey, D.A and W.A. Fuller (1979). Distribution for the estimates for autoregressive time series with a unit root, *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, 74, 427–31.
- 5. Dornbusch, R and H. Wolf (1990). *Monetary Overhang and Reforms in the 1940s*, National Bureau of Economic Research (No. w3456).
- 6. Granger, C.W.J. (1969). Investigating Causal Relations by Econometric Models and Cross-Spectral Methods, *Econometrica*, 37 (3), 424-438.
- Hossain, M.A. (2002). Exchange Rate Responses to Inflation in Bangladesh, International Monetary Fund.
- 8. Johansen, S. (1991). Estimation and Hypothesis Testing of Cointegration Vectors in Gaussian Vector Autoregressive Models. *Econometrica*, Vol. 59, No. 6, pp. 1551–1580.
- 9. Johansen, S. (1995). *Likelihood-Based Inference in Cointegrated Vector Autoregressive Models*, New York: Oxford University Press.
- 10. Kannan, R and B. Singh (2007). *Debt-deficit dynamics in India and macroeconomic effects: A structural approach*, MPRA Paper (16480).
- 11. Kwon, G. McFarlane, L and W. Robinson (2006). *Public debt, money supply, and inflation: A cross-country study and its application to Jamaica*, International Monetary Fund.
- 12. Lopes, J.A. Ferreira-Lopes, A and T.N. Sequeira (2015). Public debt, economic growth and inflation in African economies, *South African Journal of Economics*, 84 (2), 294–322.



- 13. Moser, G.G. (1995). *The Main Determinants of Inflation in Nigeria*, Staff Papers-International Monetary Fund, 270-289.
- 14. Pesaran, M.H. Shin, Y and R.J. Smith (2001). Bounds Testing Approaches to the Analysis of Level Relationships, *Journal of applied econometrics*, 16(3), 289-326.
- 15. Reinhart, C.M and K.S. Rogoff (2010). Growth in a time of debt, *American Economic Review*, 100(2), 573-578.
- 16. Reinhart, C.M and K.S. Rogoff (2009). *This Time is Different: Eight Centuries of Financial Folly*, Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.
- 17. IMF Country Report No. 18/218 "Tunisia: Third Review under the Extended Fund Facility, and Request for Waiver of Applicability and Modification of Performance Criteria". International Monetary Fund. Middle East and Central Asia Dept. July 10, 2018.